The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 3:21-cr-05213-RSM 10 Plaintiff, 11 ORDER ON MOTION TO CONTINUE MOTION AND TRIAL v. 12 DATE 13 KENDLE HAWKINS 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 18 This matter comes before the Court on the joint motion of the defendant and government. 19 Having considered the motion, any responses and objections, and all the files and records herein, 20 the Court finds and rules as follows: 21 THE COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 22 23 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), that the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the 24 defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation—due 25 to all parties' need for more time to review the considerable volume of discovery and evidence 26 27

Order on Agreed Motion to Continue Motion & Trial Date - 1

28

Tim Rusk Bradley Johnson Lawyers 1333 Stewart Street, Seattle, WA. 98109 (206) 223-1601

produced, and to consider possible defenses and motions—taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (ii), (iv) that the failure to grant a continuance into November or December 2022 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible or result in a miscarriage of justice; that the case is so unusual or complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation within the time limits set by the Act; and that failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant or the Government continuity of counsel, or would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THE COURT THEREFORE FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), that the ends of justice served by a continuance into November or December 2022 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial

THE COURT FINDS, in light of these factors, that it is unlikely that the parties can be reasonably ready to try this matter before June 6, 2022, at the earliest.

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(6) and (7), that this is a reasonable period of delay, in that the defendant has indicated they require more time to prepare for trial and believe that this is the earliest that they could be prepared to proceed to trial. The Court finds that given the complexity of the case, that this amount of time is, in fact, necessary.

1	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date will be continued
2	until December 5, 2022 at 9:00 AM.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion hearing date will be continued until July
5	21, 2022 at 9:00 AM.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a status conference is scheduled for August 18, 2022 at
7	9:00 AM.
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant shall file a speedy trial waiver.
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between this date and the new trial date is
10 11	excluded in computing the time within which a trial must be held pursuant to Title 18, United
12	States Code, Section 3161, et seq.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	DATED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2022.
15	
16	
17 18	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
19	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Order on Agreed Metion to Continue Metion & Trial Date 2

Order on Agreed Motion to Continue Motion & Trial Date - 4

Tim Rusk Bradley Johnson Lawyers 1333 Stewart Street, Seattle, WA. 98109 (206) 223-1601